arXiv:quant-ph/0005045v1 12 May 2000

Supersymmetric and Shape-Invariant Generalization for Non-resonant Jaynes-Cummings Systems

A. N. F. Aleixo[*]
Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin 53706 USA[†]

A. B. Balantekin[‡],
Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Postfach 103980, D-69029 Heidelberg, Germany [§]

M. A. Cândido Ribeiro[**],
Departamento de Física - Instituto de Biociências, Letras e Ciências Exatas
UNESP, São José do Rio Preto, SP - Brazil

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

A class of shape-invariant bound-state problems which represent transitions in a two-level system introduced earlier are generalized to include arbitrary energy splittings between the two levels. We show that the coupled-channel Hamiltonians obtained correspond to the generalization of the non-resonant Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian, widely used in quantized theories of laser. In this general context, we determine the eigenstates, eigenvalues, the time evolution matrix and the population inversion matrix factor.

Typeset using REVTEX

---

[*] Electronic address: `aleixo@nucth.physics.wisc.edu`

[†] `Permanent address`: Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, RJ - Brazil.

[‡] Electronic address: `baha@nucth.physics.wisc.edu`

[§] `Permanent address:` Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706 USA

[**] Electronic address: `macr@df.ibilce.unesp.br`

I. INTRODUCTION

The integrability condition called shape-invariance originates in supersymmetric quantum mechanics [1,2]. The separable positive-definite Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_1 = \hat{A}^\dagger \hat{A}$ is called shape-invariant if the condition

$$\hat{A}(a_1)\hat{A}^\dagger(a_1) = \hat{A}^\dagger(a_2)\hat{A}(a_2) + R(a_1)\,, \tag{1.1}$$

is satisfied [3]. In this equation a_1 and a_2 represent parameters of the Hamiltonian. The parameter a_2 is a function of a_1 and the remainder $R(a_1)$ is independent of the dynamical variables such as position and momentum. Even though not all exactly-solvable problems are shape-invariant [4], shape invariance, especially in its algebraic formulation [5–7], has proven to be a powerful technique to study exactly-solvable systems.

In a previous paper [8] we used shape-invariance to calculate the energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \hat{A}^\dagger \hat{A} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\hat{A}, \hat{A}^\dagger\right](\hat{\sigma}_3 + 1) + \sqrt{\hbar\Omega}\left(\hat{\sigma}_+ \hat{A} + \hat{\sigma}_- \hat{A}^\dagger\right)\,, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma}_\pm = \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{\sigma}_1 \pm i\hat{\sigma}_2\right)\,, \tag{1.3}$$

and $\hat{\sigma}_i$, with $i = 1$, 2, and 3, are the Pauli matrices.

This is a generalization of the Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian [9]. A different, but related problem was considered in Ref. [10]. Our goal in this paper is to study a further generalization of the Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian by introducing a term proportional to σ_3 with an arbitrary coefficient (the so-called non-resonant limit). In addition to the energy levels we study the time-evolution and the population inversion factor.

Introducing the similarity transformation that replaces a_1 with a_2 in a given operator

$$\hat{T}(a_1)\,\hat{O}(a_1)\,\hat{T}^\dagger(a_1) = \hat{O}(a_2) \tag{1.4}$$

and the operators

$$\hat{B}_+ = \hat{A}^\dagger(a_1)\hat{T}(a_1) \tag{1.5}$$

$$\hat{B}_- = \hat{B}_+^\dagger = \hat{T}^\dagger(a_1)\hat{A}(a_1)\,, \tag{1.6}$$

the condition of Eq. (1.1) can be written as a commutator [5]

$$[\hat{B}_-, \hat{B}_+] = \hat{T}^\dagger(a_1)R(a_1)\hat{T}(a_1) \equiv R(a_0)\,, \tag{1.7}$$

where we used the identity

$$R(a_n) = \hat{T}(a_1)\,R(a_{n-1})\,\hat{T}^\dagger(a_1)\,, \tag{1.8}$$

valid for any n. The ground state of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_1 = \hat{A}^\dagger \hat{A} = \hat{B}_+ \hat{B}_-$ satisfies the condition

$$\hat{A} \mid \psi_0\rangle = 0 = \hat{B}_- \mid \psi_0\rangle \, ; \tag{1.9}$$

and the unnormalized n-th excited state is given by

$$\mid \psi_n\rangle \sim \left(\hat{B}_+\right)^n \mid \psi_0\rangle \tag{1.10}$$

with the eigenvalue

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} R(a_k) \, . \tag{1.11}$$

We note that the Hamiltonian of Eq. (1.2) can also be written as

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T} & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_\pm \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} , \tag{1.12}$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_\pm = \hat{B}_+\hat{B}_- + \frac{1}{2} R(a_0)\,(\hat{\sigma}_3 + 1) \pm \sqrt{\hbar\Omega}\left(\hat{\sigma}_+\hat{B}_- + \hat{\sigma}_-\hat{B}_+\right) \, . \tag{1.13}$$

II. THE GENERALIZED NON-RESONANT JAYNES-CUMMINGS HAMILTONIAN

The standard Jaynes-Cummings model, normally used in quantum optics, idealizes the interaction of matter with electromagnetic radiation by a simple Hamiltonian of a two-level atom coupled to a single bosonic mode [11–16]. This Hamiltonian has a fundamental importance to the field of quantum optics and it is a central ingredient in the quantized description of any optical system involving the interaction between light and atoms. The Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian defines a *molecule*, a composite system formed from the coupling of a two-state system and a quantized harmonic oscillator. In this case, its non-resonant expression can be written as

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \hat{A}^\dagger\hat{A} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\hat{A}, \hat{A}^\dagger\right](\hat{\sigma}_3 + 1) + \sqrt{\hbar\Omega}\left(\hat{\sigma}_+\hat{A} + \hat{\sigma}_-\hat{A}^\dagger\right) + \hbar\Delta\,\hat{\sigma}_3 \, , \tag{2.1}$$

where Ω is a constant related with the coupling strength and Δ is a constant related with the detuning of the system.

Following Ref. [8] we introduce the operator

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}} = \hat{\sigma}_+\hat{A} + \hat{\sigma}_-\hat{A}^\dagger \, , \tag{2.2}$$

where the operators $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{A}^\dagger$ satisfy the shape invariance condition of Eq. (1.1). Using this definition we can decompose the non-resonant Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian in the form

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}} = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_o + \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{int} \, , \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_o = \hat{\mathbf{S}}^2\,, \tag{2.4a}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{int} = \sqrt{\hbar\Omega}\,\hat{\mathbf{S}} + \hbar\Delta\,\hat{\sigma}_3\,. \tag{2.4b}$$

First, we search for the eigenstates of $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^2$. In this case it is more convenient to work with its B-operator expression, which can be written as [8]

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T} & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{B}_-\hat{B}_+ & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{B}_+\hat{B}_- \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{H}_1 \end{bmatrix}\,, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\hat{H}_2 = \hat{T}\hat{B}_-\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger$. Note the freedom of sign choice in Eq. (2.5), which results in two possible decompositions of $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^2$. Next, we introduce the states

$$\mid \Psi^{(\pm)}\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T} & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_m^{(\pm)} \mid m\rangle \\ C_n^{(\pm)} \mid n\rangle \end{bmatrix}\,, \tag{2.6}$$

where $C_{m,n}^{(\pm)} \equiv C_{m,n}^{(\pm)}\left[R(a_1), R(a_2), R(a_3), \ldots\right]$ are auxiliary coefficients and, $\mid m\rangle$ and $\mid n\rangle$ are the abbreviated notation for the states $\mid \psi_m\rangle$ and $\mid \psi_n\rangle$ of Eq. (1.10). Using Eqs. (1.7), (2.5) and (2.6), the commutation between $\hat{H}_1$ and a function of $R(a_k)$, and the $\hat{T}$-operator unitary condition, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{S}}^2 \mid \Psi^{(\pm)}\rangle &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T} & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{B}_+\hat{B}_- + R(a_0) & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{B}_+\hat{B}_- \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_m^{(\pm)} \mid m\rangle \\ C_n^{(\pm)} \mid n\rangle \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T} & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{E}_m + R(a_0) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{E}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_m^{(\pm)} \mid m\rangle \\ C_n^{(\pm)} \mid n\rangle \end{bmatrix}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

However, using Eqs. (1.8) and (1.11) one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}\left[\mathcal{E}_m + R(a_0)\right]\hat{T}^\dagger &= \hat{T}\left[R(a_1) + R(a_2) + \cdots + R(a_m) + R(a_0)\right]\hat{T}^\dagger \\ &= R(a_2) + R(a_3) + \cdots + R(a_{m+1}) + R(a_1) = \mathcal{E}_{m+1}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Hence the states

$$\mid \Psi_m^{(\pm)}\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T} & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_m^{(\pm)} \mid m\rangle \\ C_{m+1}^{(\pm)} \mid m+1\rangle \end{bmatrix}, \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \tag{2.9}$$

are the normalized eigenstates of the operator $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^2$

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}^2 \mid \Psi_m^{(\pm)}\rangle = \mathcal{E}_{m+1} \mid \Psi_m^{(\pm)}\rangle\,. \tag{2.10}$$

We observe that the orthonormality of the wavefunctions imply in the following relations among the C's:

$$\langle \Psi_m^{(\pm)} \mid \Psi_m^{(\pm)}\rangle = \left[C_m^{(\pm)}\right]^2 + \left[C_{m+1}^{(\pm)}\right]^2 = 1 \tag{2.11a}$$

$$\langle \Psi_m^{(\mp)} \mid \Psi_m^{(\pm)}\rangle = C_m^{(\pm)}C_m^{(\mp)} - C_{m+1}^{(\pm)}C_{m+1}^{(\mp)} = 0\,. \tag{2.11b}$$

Since $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^2$ and $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{int}$ commute then it is possible to find a common set of eigenstates. We can use this fact to determine the eigenvalues of $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{int}$ and the relations among the C's coefficients. For that we need to calculate

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{int} \mid \Psi_m^{(\pm)}\rangle = \lambda_m^{(\pm)} \mid \Psi_m^{(\pm)}\rangle \,, \tag{2.12}$$

where $\lambda_m^{(\pm)}$ are the eigenvalues to be determined. Using Eqs. (2.2), (2.4) and (2.9), the last eigenvalue equation can be rewritten in a matrix form as

$$\alpha \begin{bmatrix} \beta & \hat{T}\hat{B}_- \\ \hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger & -\beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T} & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_m^{(\pm)} \mid m\rangle \\ C_{m+1}^{(\pm)} \mid m+1\rangle \end{bmatrix} = \lambda_m^{(\pm)} \begin{bmatrix} C_m^{(\pm)} \mid m\rangle \\ C_{m+1}^{(\pm)} \mid m+1\rangle \end{bmatrix} \,, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\alpha = \sqrt{\hbar\Omega}$ and $\beta = \hbar\Delta/\alpha$. Since the C's coefficients commute with the $\hat{A}$ or $\hat{A}^\dagger$ operators, then the last matrix equation permits to obtain the following equations

$$\left[\alpha\beta - \lambda_m^{(\pm)}\right] \left(\hat{T} C_m^{(\pm)} \hat{T}^\dagger\right) \hat{T} \mid m\rangle \pm \alpha \, C_{m+1}^{(\pm)} \hat{T}\hat{B}_- \mid m+1\rangle = 0 \tag{2.14a}$$

$$\alpha \left(\hat{T} C_m^{(\pm)} \hat{T}^\dagger\right) \hat{B}_+ \mid m\rangle \mp \left[\alpha\beta + \lambda_m^{(\pm)}\right] C_{m+1}^{(\pm)} \mid m+1\rangle = 0 \,. \tag{2.14b}$$

Introducing the operator [7]

$$\hat{Q}^\dagger = \left(\hat{B}_+\hat{B}_-\right)^{-1/2} \hat{B}_+ \tag{2.15}$$

one can write the normalized eigenstate of $\hat{H}_1$ as

$$\mid m\rangle = \left(\hat{Q}^\dagger\right)^m \mid 0\rangle \,, \tag{2.16}$$

and, with Eqs. (2.15) and (2.16) we can show that [8]

$$\hat{B}_+ \mid m\rangle = \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m+1}} \mid m+1\rangle \,, \tag{2.17a}$$

$$\hat{T}\hat{B}_- \mid m+1\rangle = \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m+1}} \hat{T} \mid m\rangle \,. \tag{2.17b}$$

Using Eqs. (2.17), then Eqs. (2.14) take the form

$$\left\{\left[\alpha\beta - \lambda_m^{(\pm)}\right] \left(\hat{T} C_m^{(\pm)} \hat{T}^\dagger\right) \pm \alpha\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m+1}}\, C_{m+1}^{(\pm)}\right\} \hat{T} \mid m\rangle = 0 \tag{2.18a}$$

$$\left\{\alpha\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m+1}} \left(\hat{T} C_m^{(\pm)} \hat{T}^\dagger\right) \mp \left[\alpha\beta + \lambda_m^{(\pm)}\right] C_{m+1}^{(\pm)}\right\} \mid m+1\rangle = 0 \,. \tag{2.18b}$$

From Eqs. (2.18) it follows that

$$\lambda_m^{(\pm)} = \pm\alpha\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m+1} + \beta^2} \,, \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$C_{m+1}^{(\pm)} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m+1} + \beta^2} \mp \beta}{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m+1}}}\right) \left(\hat{T} C_m^{(\pm)} \hat{T}^\dagger\right) \,. \tag{2.20}$$

Eqs. (2.11) and (2.20) imply that

$$C_{m+1}^{(\pm)} = C_m^{(\mp)} \,, \tag{2.21}$$

and the eigenstates and eigenvalues of the generalized non-resonant Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonians can be written as

$$E_m^{(\pm)} = \mathcal{E}_{m+1} \pm \sqrt{\hbar\Omega\ \mathcal{E}_{m+1} + \hbar^2\Delta^2}\,, \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\mid \Psi_m^{(\pm)}\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T} & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_m^{(\pm)} \mid m\rangle \\ C_m^{(\mp)} \mid m+1\rangle \end{bmatrix}, \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \tag{2.23}$$

a) The Resonant Limit

From these general results we can verify two important and simple limiting cases. The first one corresponds to the resonant situation, for which $\Delta = 0 \ \ (\beta = 0)$. Using these conditions in Eqs. (2.20) and (2.22) and Eqs. (2.11) we get

$$E_m^{(\pm)} = \mathcal{E}_{m+1} \pm \sqrt{\hbar\Omega\ \mathcal{E}_{m+1}}\,, \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$C_{m+1}^{(\pm)} = \hat{T} C_m^{(\pm)} \hat{T}^\dagger = C_m^{(\pm)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,. \tag{2.25}$$

Therefore the Jaynes-Cummings resonant eigenstate is given by

$$\mid \Psi_m^{(\pm)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T} & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mid m\rangle \\ \mid m+1\rangle \end{bmatrix}, \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \tag{2.26}$$

These particular results are shown in the Ref. [8].

b) The Standard Jaynes-Cummings Limit

The second important limit corresponds to the standard Jaynes-Cummings Hamiltonian, related with the harmonic oscillator system. In this limit we have that $\hat{T} = \hat{T}^\dagger \longrightarrow 1$, $\hat{B}_- \longrightarrow \hat{a}$, $\hat{B}_+ \longrightarrow \hat{a}^\dagger$, $\Delta = \omega - \omega_o$ and $\mathcal{E}_{m+1} = (m+1)\hbar\omega$. Using these conditions in the Eqs. (2.20), (2.22) and Eqs. (2.11) we conclude that

$$E_m^{(\pm)} = (m+1)\hbar\omega \pm \hbar\sqrt{\Omega\omega(m+1) + (\omega - \omega_o)^2}\,, \tag{2.27}$$

and

$$C_{m+1}^{(\pm)} = \gamma_m^{(\pm)} C_m^{(\pm)} = C_m^{(\mp)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\gamma_m^{(\mp)}\right)^2}}\,, \tag{2.28}$$

where

$$\gamma_m^{(\pm)} = \sqrt{1 + \delta_m^2} \mp \delta_m\,, \tag{2.29a}$$

$$\delta_m = \frac{\omega - \omega_o}{\sqrt{(m+1)\Omega\omega}}\,. \tag{2.29b}$$

Therefore the standard Jaynes-Cummings eigenstate, written in a matrix form, is given by

$$\mid \Psi_m^{(\pm)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\gamma_m^{(\pm)}\right)^2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm\gamma_m^{(\pm)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mid m\rangle \\ \mid m+1\rangle \end{bmatrix}, \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \tag{2.30}$$

These results are shown in many papers, in particular, in the Ref. [17].

III. THE TIME EVOLUTION OF THE SYSTEM

To study the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for a Jaynes-Cummings system in non-resonant situation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mid \Psi(t)\rangle = \left(\hat{\mathbf{H}}_o + \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{int}\right) \mid \Psi(t)\rangle \tag{3.1}$$

we can write the wavefunction as

$$\mid \Psi(t)\rangle = \exp\left(-i\hat{\mathbf{H}}_o t/\hbar\right) \mid \Psi_i(t)\rangle\,, \tag{3.2}$$

and, by substituting this into Schrödinger equation and taking into account the commutation property between $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_o$ and $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{int}$, we obtain

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mid \Psi_i(t)\rangle = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{int} \mid \Psi_i(t)\rangle\,. \tag{3.3}$$

We introduce the evolution matrix $\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0)$:

$$\mid \Psi_i(t)\rangle = \hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0) \mid \Psi_i(0)\rangle\,. \tag{3.4}$$

which satisfies the equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0) = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{int}\,\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0)\,, \tag{3.5}$$

that is, in matrix form, written as

$$i\hbar\begin{bmatrix}\hat{U}'_{11} & \hat{U}'_{12}\\ \hat{U}'_{21} & \hat{U}'_{22}\end{bmatrix} = \alpha\begin{bmatrix}\beta & \hat{T}\hat{B}_-\\ \hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger & -\beta\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\hat{U}_{11} & \hat{U}_{12}\\ \hat{U}_{21} & \hat{U}_{22}\end{bmatrix}\,, \tag{3.6}$$

where the primes denote the time derivative. One fast way to diagonalize the evolution matrix differential equation is by differentiating Eq. (3.5) with respect to time. We find

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0) = \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{int}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0) = \frac{1}{i\hbar}\hat{\mathbf{H}}^2_{int}\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0)\,, \tag{3.7}$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix}\hat{U}''_{11} & \hat{U}''_{12}\\ \hat{U}''_{21} & \hat{U}''_{22}\end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix}\hat{\omega}_1 & 0\\ 0 & \hat{\omega}_2\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\hat{U}_{11} & \hat{U}_{12}\\ \hat{U}_{21} & \hat{U}_{22}\end{bmatrix}\,, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\hbar\hat{\omega}_1 = \alpha\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger + \beta^2} = \sqrt{\hbar\Omega\,\hat{H}_2 + (\hbar\Delta)^2}\,, \tag{3.9a}$$

$$\hbar\hat{\omega}_2 = \alpha\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{B}_- + \beta^2} = \sqrt{\hbar\Omega\,\hat{H}_1 + (\hbar\Delta)^2}\,. \tag{3.9b}$$

Since by initial conditions $\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(0,0) = \hat{\mathbf{I}}$, then we can write the solution of the evolution matrix differential equation (3.7) as

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\hat{\omega}_1 t) & \sin(\hat{\omega}_1 t)\,\hat{C} \\ \sin(\hat{\omega}_2 t)\,\hat{D} & \cos(\hat{\omega}_2 t) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.10}$$

and the $\hat{C}$ and $\hat{D}$ operators can be determined by the unitarity conditions

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i^\dagger(t,0)\,\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0) = \hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0)\,\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i^\dagger(t,0) = \hat{\mathbf{I}}\,. \tag{3.11}$$

In the appendix A we show that the unitarity conditions (3.11) imply

$$\hat{C} = -\hat{D}^\dagger = \frac{i}{(\hat{H}_2)^{1/4}}\,\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-} \tag{3.12a}$$

$$\hat{D} = -\hat{C}^\dagger\,. \tag{3.12b}$$

Therefore, we can write the final expression of the time evolution matrix of the system as

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\hat{\omega}_1 t) & \sin(\hat{\omega}_1 t)\,\hat{C} \\ -\sin(\hat{\omega}_2 t)\,\hat{C}^\dagger & \cos(\hat{\omega}_2 t) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.13}$$

For Jaynes-Cummings systems an important physical quantity to see how the system under consideration evolves in time is the population inversion factor [11,13,15], defined by

$$\hat{\mathbf{W}}(t) \equiv \hat{\sigma}_+(t)\;\hat{\sigma}_-(t) - \hat{\sigma}_-(t)\;\hat{\sigma}_+(t) = \hat{\sigma}_3(t)\,, \tag{3.14}$$

where the time dependence of the operators is related with the Heisenberg picture. In this case, the time evolution of the population inversion factor will be given by

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_3(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar}\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i^\dagger(t,0)\left[\hat{\sigma}_3,\hat{\mathbf{H}}\right]\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0)\,, \tag{3.15}$$

and since we have

$$\left[\hat{\sigma}_3,\hat{\mathbf{H}}\right] = \alpha\left[\hat{\sigma}_3,\hat{\mathbf{S}}\right] = -2\alpha\,\hat{\mathbf{S}}\,\hat{\sigma}_3\,, \tag{3.16}$$

then Eq. (3.15) can be written as

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_3(t)}{dt} = \frac{2i\alpha}{\hbar}\,\hat{\mathbf{S}}(t)\,\hat{\sigma}_3(t)\,. \tag{3.17}$$

We can obtain a differential equation with constant coefficients for $\hat{\sigma}_3(t)$ by taking the time derivative of Eq. (3.17)

$$\frac{d^2\hat{\sigma}_3(t)}{dt^2} = \frac{2i\alpha}{\hbar}\left\{\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{S}}(t)}{dt}\,\hat{\sigma}_3(t) + \hat{\mathbf{S}}(t)\,\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_3(t)}{dt}\right\}. \tag{3.18}$$

Having in mind that

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{S}}(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar}\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i^\dagger(t,0)\left[\hat{\mathbf{S}},\hat{\mathbf{H}}\right]\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0)\,, \tag{3.19}$$

and that

$$\left[\hat{\mathbf{S}}, \hat{\mathbf{H}}\right] = \alpha\beta \left[\hat{\mathbf{S}}, \hat{\sigma}_3\right] = 2\alpha\beta \,\hat{\mathbf{S}}\, \hat{\sigma}_3 \,, \tag{3.20}$$

we conclude that

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{S}}(t)}{dt} = -\frac{2i\alpha\beta}{\hbar}\, \hat{\mathbf{S}}(t)\, \hat{\sigma}_3(t) \,. \tag{3.21}$$

Using Eqs. (3.17) and (3.21) into Eq. (3.18) we obtain

$$\frac{d^2\hat{\sigma}_3(t)}{dt^2} + \hat{\mathbf{\Theta}}^2\, \hat{\sigma}_3(t) = \hat{\mathbf{F}}(t) \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}}^2 = \frac{4\alpha^2}{\hbar^2}\, \hat{\mathbf{S}}^2 \tag{3.23a}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{F}}(t) = \frac{4\alpha^2\beta}{\hbar^2}\, \hat{\mathbf{U}}_i^\dagger(t,0)\, \hat{\mathbf{S}}\, \hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0) \,. \tag{3.23b}$$

Eq. (3.22) corresponds to a non-homogeneous linear differential equation for $\hat{\sigma}_3(t)$ with constant coefficients since $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^2$ and $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$ commute and, therefore, $\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}}$ is a constant of the motion. The general solution of this differential equation can be written as

$$\hat{\sigma}_3(t) = \hat{\sigma}^H(t) + \hat{\sigma}^P(t) \,, \tag{3.24}$$

and each matrix element of the homogeneous solution, satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2\hat{\sigma}^H_{jk}(t)}{dt^2} + \hat{\nu}_j^2\, \hat{\sigma}^H_{jk}(t) = 0 \,, \qquad j,\, k = 1, \text{or } 2 \,, \tag{3.25}$$

with

$$\hbar\hat{\nu}_1 = 2\alpha\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger} = 2\sqrt{\hbar\Omega\, \hat{H}_2} \,, \tag{3.26a}$$

$$\hbar\hat{\nu}_2 = 2\alpha\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{B}_-} = 2\sqrt{\hbar\Omega\, \hat{H}_1} \,. \tag{3.26b}$$

The solution of Eq. (3.25) is given by

$$\hat{\sigma}^H_{jk}(t) = \hat{y}_j(t)\ \hat{c}_{jk} + \hat{z}_j(t)\ \hat{d}_{jk} \,, \tag{3.27}$$

where

$$\hat{y}_j(t) = \cos\left(\hat{\nu}_j t\right) \tag{3.28a}$$

$$\hat{z}_j(t) = \sin\left(\hat{\nu}_j t\right) , \tag{3.28b}$$

and the coefficients $\hat{c}_{jk}$ and $\hat{d}_{jk}$ can be determined by the initial conditions.

The matrix elements of the particular solution of the $\hat{\sigma}_3(t)$ differential equation need to satisfy

$$\frac{d^2\hat{\sigma}^P_{jk}(t)}{dt^2} + \hat{\nu}_j^2\, \hat{\sigma}^P_{jk}(t) = \hat{F}_{jk}(t) \,, \qquad j,\, k = 1, \text{or } 2 \,, \tag{3.29}$$

and can be obtained by the variation of parameter or by Green function methods, giving

$$\hat{\sigma}^P_{jk}(t) = \hat{\nu}_j^{-1}\left\{\hat{z}_j(t)\int_0^t d\xi\,\hat{y}_j(\xi)\,\hat{F}_{jk}(\xi) - \hat{y}_j(t)\int_0^t d\xi\,\hat{z}_j(\xi)\,\hat{F}_{jk}(\xi)\right\}, \tag{3.30}$$

where we used that the Wronskian of the system of solutions $\hat{y}_j(t)$ and $\hat{z}_j(t)$ is given by $\hat{\nu}_j$.

After we determine the elements of the $\hat{\mathbf{F}}(t)$-matrix, it is necessary to resolve the integrals in Eq. (3.30) to obtain the explicit expression of the particular solution. In the appendix B we show that, using Eqs. (2.2), (3.13), and (3.23), it is possible to conclude that these matrix elements can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}^P_{11}(t) = {} & i\frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{\nu}_1^{-1}\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\left\{\hat{z}_2(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(+)}_{CS}(t;\hat{\nu}_2,\hat{\omega}_2,\hat{\omega}_1) - \hat{y}_2(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(+)}_{SS}(t;\hat{\nu}_2,\hat{\omega}_2,\hat{\omega}_1)\right\}\hat{H}_2^{1/4} \\ & + i\frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{\nu}_1^{-1}\hat{H}_2^{1/4}\left\{\hat{z}_1(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(-)}_{SC}(t;\hat{\nu}_1,\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\omega}_2) - \hat{y}_1(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(-)}_{CC}(t;\hat{\nu}_1,\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\omega}_2)\right\}\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.31a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}^P_{12}(t) = {} & \frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{\nu}_1^{-1}\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\left\{\hat{z}_2(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(+)}_{CC}(t;\hat{\nu}_2,\hat{\omega}_2,\hat{\omega}_1) - \hat{y}_2(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(+)}_{SC}(t;\hat{\nu}_2,\hat{\omega}_2,\hat{\omega}_1)\right\}\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-} \\ & + \frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{\nu}_1^{-1}\hat{H}_2^{1/4}\left\{\hat{z}_1(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(-)}_{SS}(t;\hat{\nu}_1,\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\omega}_2) + \hat{y}_1(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(-)}_{CS}(t;\hat{\nu}_1,\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\omega}_2)\right\}\hat{H}_1^{1/4}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.31b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}^P_{21}(t) = {} & \frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{\nu}_2^{-1}\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\left\{\hat{z}_1(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(+)}_{CC}(t;\hat{\nu}_1,\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\omega}_2) - \hat{y}_1(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(+)}_{SC}(t;\hat{\nu}_1,\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\omega}_2)\right\}\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger} \\ & + \frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{\nu}_2^{-1}\hat{H}_1^{1/4}\left\{\hat{z}_2(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(-)}_{SS}(t;\hat{\nu}_2,\hat{\omega}_2,\hat{\omega}_1) - \hat{y}_2(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(-)}_{CS}(t;\hat{\nu}_2,\hat{\omega}_2,\hat{\omega}_1)\right\}\hat{H}_2^{1/4}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.31c}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}^P_{22}(t) = {} & i\frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{\nu}_2^{-1}\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\left\{\hat{z}_1(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(+)}_{CS}(t;\hat{\nu}_1,\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\omega}_2) - \hat{y}_1(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(+)}_{SS}(t;\hat{\nu}_1,\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\omega}_2)\right\}\hat{H}_1^{1/4} \\ & + i\frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{\nu}_2^{-1}\hat{H}_1^{1/4}\left\{\hat{z}_2(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(-)}_{SC}(t;\hat{\nu}_2,\hat{\omega}_2,\hat{\omega}_1) + \hat{y}_2(t)\,\mathcal{G}^{(-)}_{CC}(t;\hat{\nu}_2,\hat{\omega}_2,\hat{\omega}_1)\right\}\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.31d}$$

where $\gamma = 4\alpha^2\beta/\hbar^2$, and the auxiliary functions are given by

$$\mathcal{G}^{(\pm)}_{XY}(t;\hat{p},\hat{q},\hat{r}) = \mathcal{F}_{XY}(t;\hat{p}-\hat{q},\hat{r}) \pm \mathcal{F}_{XY}(t;\hat{p}+\hat{q},\hat{r})\,, \qquad X,Y = C \text{ or } S\,, \tag{3.32}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{CC}(t;\hat{x},\hat{w}) &\equiv \int_0^t d\xi\,\cos(\hat{x}\xi)\,\cos(\hat{w}\xi) \\ &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m+n}\frac{\hat{x}^{2m}\hat{w}^{2n}}{(2m)!\,(2n)!}\frac{t^{2m+2n+1}}{(2m+2n+1)}\end{aligned} \tag{3.33a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{CS}(t;\hat{x},\hat{w}) &\equiv \int_0^t d\xi\,\cos(\hat{x}\xi)\,\sin(\hat{w}\xi) \\ &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m+n}\frac{\hat{x}^{2m}\hat{w}^{2n+1}}{(2m)!\,(2n+1)!}\frac{t^{2m+2n+2}}{(2m+2n+2)}\end{aligned} \tag{3.33b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{SC}(t;\hat{x},\hat{w}) &\equiv \int_0^t d\xi\,\sin(\hat{x}\xi)\,\cos(\hat{w}\xi) \\ &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m+n}\frac{\hat{x}^{2m+1}\hat{w}^{2n}}{(2m+1)!\,(2n)!}\frac{t^{2m+2n+2}}{(2m+2n+2)}\end{aligned} \tag{3.33c}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{SS}(t;\hat{x},\hat{w}) &\equiv \int_0^t d\xi\,\sin(\hat{x}\xi)\,\sin(\hat{w}\xi) \\ &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m+n}\frac{\hat{x}^{2m+1}\hat{w}^{2n+1}}{(2m+1)!\,(2n+1)!}\frac{t^{2m+2n+3}}{(2m+2n+3)}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.33d}$$

With these results for the particular solution we can conclude that

$$\hat{\sigma}^P_{ij}(0) = 0 = \frac{d\hat{\sigma}^P_{ij}(0)}{dt}\,. \tag{3.34}$$

Now, using Eqs. (3.17), (3.24), (3.27), (3.34) and the initial conditions, we have

$$[\hat{\sigma}_3(0)]_{ij} = \hat{c}_{ij} \tag{3.35a}$$

$$\left[\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_3(0)}{dt}\right]_{ij} = \frac{2i\alpha}{\hbar}\left[\hat{\mathbf{S}}(0)\,\hat{\sigma}_3(0)\right]_{ij} = \hat{\nu}_i\,\hat{d}_{ij}\,. \tag{3.35b}$$

Therefore, the final expression for the elements of the population inversion matrix of the system can be written as

$$[\hat{\sigma}_3(t)]_{ij} = \cos\left(\hat{\nu}_i t\right)\,[\hat{\sigma}_3(0)]_{ij} + \frac{2i\alpha}{\hbar}\,\sin\left(\hat{\nu}_i t\right)\,\hat{\nu}_i^{-1}\left[\hat{\mathbf{S}}(0)\,\hat{\sigma}_3(0)\right]_{ij} + \hat{\sigma}^P_{ij}(t)\,. \tag{3.36}$$

Again, using these final results we can verify two important and simple limiting cases.

a) The Resonant Limit

The first one corresponds to the resonant situation ($\Delta = 0$). Eqs. (3.9), (3.13), (3.26) and (3.31) allow us to conclude that, in this case, the evolution matrix of the system is given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}}_i(t,0) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\hat{\nu}_1 t\right) & \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\hat{\nu}_1 t\right)\hat{C} \\ -\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\hat{\nu}_2 t\right)\hat{C}^\dagger & \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\hat{\nu}_2 t\right) \end{bmatrix}\,. \tag{3.37}$$

and the elements of the population inversion of the system are

$$[\hat{\sigma}_3(t)]_{ij} = \cos\left(\hat{\nu}_i t\right)\,[\hat{\sigma}_3(0)]_{ij} + \frac{2i\alpha}{\hbar}\,\sin\left(\hat{\nu}_i t\right)\,\hat{\nu}_i^{-1}\left[\hat{\mathbf{S}}(0)\,\hat{\sigma}_3(0)\right]_{ij}\,. \tag{3.38}$$

b) The Standard Jaynes-Cummings Limit

This second important limit corresponds to the case of the harmonic oscillator system, and in this limit we have that $\hat{T} = \hat{T}^\dagger \longrightarrow 1,\ \hat{B}_- \longrightarrow \hat{a},\ \hat{B}_+ \longrightarrow \hat{a}^\dagger$ and $[\hat{a},\hat{a}^\dagger] = \hbar\omega$. With these conditions the operators $\hat{\omega}_1$ and $\hat{\omega}_2$ commute, and this fact permits to evaluate the integrals related with the particular solution of the population inversion elements using trigonometric product relations. Using that and the expressions obtained in the appendix B, after a considerable amount of algebra and trigonometric product relations we can show that is possible to write the expressions for the $\hat{\sigma}^P_{ij}(t)$-matrix elements as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}^P_{11}(t) &= i\frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{\nu}_1^{-1}\sqrt{\hat{a}}\,\{\mathcal{K}_S(t;\hat{\omega}_2,\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\nu}_2) - \mathcal{K}_S(t;\hat{\omega}_2,-\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\nu}_2)\}\left(\hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger\right)^{1/4} \\ &\quad - i\frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{\nu}_1^{-1}\left(\hat{a}\hat{a}^\dagger\right)^{1/4}\{\mathcal{K}_S(t;\hat{\omega}_2,\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\nu}_1) - \mathcal{K}_S(t;\hat{\omega}_2,-\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\nu}_1)\}\sqrt{\hat{a}^\dagger} \end{aligned} \tag{3.39a}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^P_{12}(t) = \frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{\nu}_1^{-1}\sqrt{\hat{a}}\,\{\mathcal{K}_C(t;\hat{\omega}_2,\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\nu}_2) - \mathcal{K}_C(t;\hat{\omega}_2,-\hat{\omega}_1,\hat{\nu}_2)\}\sqrt{\hat{a}}$$

$$- \frac{\gamma}{2} \hat{\nu}_1^{-1} \left(\hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger \right)^{1/4} \{ \mathcal{K}_C(t; \hat{\omega}_2, \hat{\omega}_1, \hat{\nu}_1) - \mathcal{K}_C(t; \hat{\omega}_2, -\hat{\omega}_1, \hat{\nu}_1) \} \left(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \right)^{1/4} \tag{3.39b}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{21}^P(t) = \frac{\gamma}{2} \hat{\nu}_2^{-1} \sqrt{\hat{a}^\dagger} \{ \mathcal{K}_C(t; \hat{\omega}_2, \hat{\omega}_1, \hat{\nu}_1) + \mathcal{K}_C(t; \hat{\omega}_2, -\hat{\omega}_1, \hat{\nu}_1) \} \sqrt{\hat{a}^\dagger}$$

$$- \frac{\gamma}{2} \hat{\nu}_2^{-1} \left(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \right)^{1/4} \{ \mathcal{K}_C(t; \hat{\omega}_2, \hat{\omega}_1, \hat{\nu}_2) - \mathcal{K}_C(t; \hat{\omega}_2, -\hat{\omega}_1, \hat{\nu}_2) \} \left(\hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger \right)^{1/4} \tag{3.39c}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{22}^P(t) = i \frac{\gamma}{2} \hat{\nu}_2^{-1} \sqrt{\hat{a}^\dagger} \{ \mathcal{K}_S(t; \hat{\omega}_2, \hat{\omega}_1, \hat{\nu}_1) + \mathcal{K}_S(t; \hat{\omega}_2, -\hat{\omega}_1, \hat{\nu}_1) \} \left(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \right)^{1/4}$$

$$- i \frac{\gamma}{2} \hat{\nu}_2^{-1} \left(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \right)^{1/4} \{ \mathcal{K}_S(t; \hat{\omega}_2, \hat{\omega}_1, \hat{\nu}_2) + \mathcal{K}_S(t; \hat{\omega}_2, -\hat{\omega}_1, \hat{\nu}_2) \} \sqrt{\hat{a}} \,, \tag{3.39d}$$

where, now, the auxiliary functions are given by

$$\mathcal{K}_S(t; \hat{p}, \hat{q}, \hat{r}) = \frac{\hat{r} \ \sin\left[(\hat{p} + \hat{q})\, t\right] - (\hat{p} + \hat{q}) \ \sin(\hat{r} t)}{\hat{r}^2 - (\hat{p} + \hat{q})^2} \tag{3.40a}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_C(t; \hat{p}, \hat{q}, \hat{r}) = \frac{\hat{r} \ \cos\left[(\hat{p} + \hat{q})\, t\right] - \hat{r} \ \cos(\hat{r} t)}{\hat{r}^2 - (\hat{p} + \hat{q})^2} \,. \tag{3.40b}$$

Considering the expressions above we may easily verify that the particular solution for the population inversion factor must still satisfy the initial conditions (3.34). Therefore, in this case the final expression for the population inversion factor has the same form given by Eq. (3.36), with

$$\hbar \hat{\nu}_1 = 2\alpha \sqrt{\hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger} \,, \qquad \hbar \hat{\nu}_2 = 2\alpha \sqrt{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}} \,, \tag{3.41a}$$

$$\hbar \hat{\omega}_1 = \alpha \sqrt{\hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger + \beta^2} \,, \qquad \hbar \hat{\omega}_2 = \alpha \sqrt{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \beta^2} \,. \tag{3.41b}$$

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we extended our earlier work [8] on bound-state problems which represent two-level systems. The corresponding coupled-channel Hamiltonians generalize the Jaynes-Cummings non-resonant Hamiltonian. If we take the starting Hamiltonian to be the simplest shape-invariant system, namely the harmonic oscillator, our results reduce to those of the standard non-resonant Jaynes-Cummings approach, which has been extensively used to model a two-level atom-single field mode interaction whose detuning it is not null.

Another possible extension of our model is to consider intensity-dependent couplings. This will be taken up in the following paper [18].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation Grants No. PHY-9605140 and PHY-0070161 at the University of Wisconsin, and in part by the University of Wisconsin Research Committee with funds granted by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation. A.B.B. acknowledges the support of the Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung. M.A.C.R. acknowledges the support of Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (Contract No. 98/13722-2). A.N.F.A. acknowledges the support of Fundação

Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (Contract No. BEX0610/96-8). A.B.B. is grateful to the Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik and H.A. Weidenmüller for the very kind hospitality.

Appendix A

Here we give the steps used to obtain the specific form of the operators $\hat{C}$ and $\hat{D}$. Using Eq. (3.10) into the unitary condition equation (3.11) actually we can show that the $\hat{C}$ and $\hat{D}$ operators need to satisfy the following six conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{C}\hat{C}^\dagger = \hat{C}^\dagger\hat{C} = 1 && \text{(1a)}\\ &\hat{D}\hat{D}^\dagger = \hat{D}^\dagger\hat{D} = 1 && \text{(1b)}\\ &\hat{D}^\dagger \sin(\hat{\omega}_2 t) = -\sin(\hat{\omega}_1 t)\ \hat{C} && \text{(1c)}\\ &\hat{D} \cos(\hat{\omega}_1 t) = -\cos(\hat{\omega}_2 t)\ \hat{C}^\dagger\,. && \text{(1d)} \end{aligned}$$

At this point we can use the following property

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\ \hat{\omega}_2 &= \sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\ \sqrt{\alpha^2\hat{B}_+\hat{B}_- + \beta^2}/\hbar \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha^2\hat{T}\hat{B}_-\hat{B}_+\hat{B}_- + \hat{T}\hat{B}_-\beta^2}/\hbar \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha^2\hat{T}\hat{B}_-\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger\hat{T}\hat{B}_- + \beta^2\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}/\hbar \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha^2\hat{T}\hat{B}_-\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger + \beta^2}/\hbar\ \sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-} \\ &= \hat{\omega}_1\ \sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Then, with this result we have

$$\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\ \hat{\omega}_2^2 = \sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\ \hat{\omega}_2\,\hat{\omega}_2 = \hat{\omega}_1\ \sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\ \hat{\omega}_2 = \hat{\omega}_1^2\ \sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\,, \tag{3}$$

and finally, by induction, we conclude that

$$\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\ \hat{\omega}_2^n = \hat{\omega}_1^n\ \sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\,. \tag{4}$$

In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\ \hat{\omega}_1 &= \sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\ \sqrt{\alpha^2\hat{T}\hat{B}_-\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger + \beta^2}/\hbar \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha^2\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger\hat{T}\hat{B}_-\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger + \hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger\beta^2}/\hbar \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha^2\hat{B}_+\hat{B}_-\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger + \beta^2\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}/\hbar \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha^2\hat{B}_+\hat{B}_- + \beta^2}/\hbar\ \sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger} \\ &= \hat{\omega}_2\ \sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Then, with this result we have

$$\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\;\hat{\omega}_1^2 = \sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\;\hat{\omega}_1\;\hat{\omega}_1 = \hat{\omega}_2\;\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\;\hat{\omega}_1 = \hat{\omega}_2^2\;\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\,, \tag{6}$$

and finally, again by induction, we get

$$\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\;\hat{\omega}_1^n = \hat{\omega}_2^n\;\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\,. \tag{7}$$

Using the properties given by Eqs. (4) and (7) and the forms of $\hat{C}$, $\hat{D}$ operators, defined by Eqs. (3.12), we can verify that

$$\hat{C}\hat{C}^\dagger = \hat{D}^\dagger\hat{D} = \frac{i}{\hat{H}_2^{1/4}}\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\;\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\frac{(-i)}{\hat{H}_2^{1/4}} = \frac{1}{\hat{H}_2^{1/4}}\sqrt{\hat{H}_2}\frac{1}{\hat{H}_2^{1/4}} = 1\,, \tag{8}$$

and

$$\hat{C}^\dagger\hat{C} = \hat{D}\hat{D}^\dagger = \sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\frac{(-i)}{\hat{H}_2^{1/4}}\;\frac{i}{\hat{H}_2^{1/4}}\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-} = \sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{H}_2}}\;\sqrt{\hat{H}_2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}} = 1\,. \tag{9}$$

Also using the series expansion of the trigonometric functions, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{D}^\dagger\;\sin(\hat{\omega}_2 t) &= \frac{-i}{\hat{H}_2^{1/4}}\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{(\hat{\omega}_2 t)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \\
&= \frac{-i}{\hat{H}_2^{1/4}}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\frac{(\hat{\omega}_2 t)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \\
&= \frac{-i}{\hat{H}_2^{1/4}}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{(\hat{\omega}_1 t)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{(\hat{\omega}_1 t)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}\frac{-i}{\hat{H}_2^{1/4}}\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-} \\
&= -\sin(\hat{\omega}_1 t)\,\hat{C}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where we used the commutation between $\hat{H}_2$ and $\hat{\omega}_1$ (see Appendix B). In the same way we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{D}\;\cos(\hat{\omega}_1 t) &= \sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\frac{i}{\hat{H}_2^{1/4}}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{(\hat{\omega}_1 t)^{2n}}{(2n)!} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\frac{(\hat{\omega}_1 t)^{2n}}{(2n)!}\frac{i}{\hat{H}_2^{1/4}} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{(\hat{\omega}_2 t)^{2n}}{(2n)!}\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\frac{i}{\hat{H}_2^{1/4}} \\
&= -\cos(\hat{\omega}_2 t)\,\hat{C}^\dagger\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Again, we used the commutation between $\hat{H}_2$ and $\hat{\omega}_1$.

Appendix B

In this appendix we show the necessary steps to obtain the explicit expressions of the particular solution elements of the population inversion factor. To resolve the integrals in Eq. (3.30), first we need to determine the elements of the $\hat{\mathbf{F}}(t)$-matrix. To do that we can use Eqs. (2.2), (3.23), and (3.13) to write down

$$\begin{aligned}\hat F_{11}(t) &= -\gamma\left\{\cos(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\hat T\hat B_-\,\sin(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\hat C^\dagger + \hat C\,\sin(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\hat B_+\hat T^\dagger\,\cos(\hat\omega_1 t)\right\}\\ &= i\gamma\left\{\sqrt{\hat T\hat B_-}\,\cos(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\sin(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\hat H_2^{1/4} - \hat H_2^{1/4}\,\sin(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\cos(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\sqrt{\hat B_+\hat T^\dagger}\right\} \qquad (12a)\\ \hat F_{12}(t) &= \gamma\left\{\cos(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\hat T\hat B_-\,\cos(\hat\omega_2 t) - \hat C\,\sin(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\hat B_+\hat T^\dagger\,\sin(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\hat C\right\}\\ &= \gamma\left\{\sqrt{\hat T\hat B_-}\,\cos(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\cos(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\sqrt{\hat T\hat B_-} + \hat H_2^{1/4}\,\sin(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\sin(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\hat H_1^{1/4}\right\} \qquad (12b)\\ \hat F_{21}(t) &= \gamma\left\{\cos(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\hat B_+\hat T^\dagger\,\cos(\hat\omega_1 t) - \hat C^\dagger\,\sin(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\hat T\hat B_-\,\sin(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\hat C^\dagger\right\}\\ &= \gamma\left\{\sqrt{\hat B_+\hat T^\dagger}\,\cos(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\cos(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\sqrt{\hat B_+\hat T^\dagger} + \hat H_1^{1/4}\,\sin(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\sin(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\hat H_2^{1/4}\right\} \qquad (12c)\\ \hat F_{22}(t) &= \gamma\left\{\hat C^\dagger\,\sin(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\hat T\hat B_-\,\cos(\hat\omega_2 t) + \cos(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\hat B_+\hat T^\dagger\,\sin(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\hat C\right\}\\ &= i\gamma\left\{\sqrt{\hat B_+\hat T^\dagger}\,\cos(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\sin(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\hat H_1^{1/4} - \hat H_1^{1/4}\,\sin(\hat\omega_2 t)\,\cos(\hat\omega_1 t)\,\sqrt{\hat T\hat B_-}\right\}\,, \qquad (12d)\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = 4\alpha^2\beta/\hbar^2$. Here we used the properties (1), (4) and (7), together with the following operators relations

$$\hat C\,\sqrt{\hat B_+\hat T^\dagger} = -\sqrt{\hat T\hat B_-}\,\hat C^\dagger = i\hat H_2^{1/4} \qquad (13a)$$

$$\sqrt{\hat B_+\hat T^\dagger}\,\hat C = -\hat C^\dagger\,\sqrt{\hat T\hat B_-} = i\hat H_1^{1/4}\,. \qquad (13b)$$

Now, keeping in mind that $[\hat\nu_j, \hat\omega_j] = 0$, ($j = 1$, or 2), so we may use the trigonometric relationships involving products of trigonometric functions with arguments $\hat\nu_j t$ and $\hat\omega_j t$ (since we have $\exp(\hat\nu_j t)\,\exp(\pm\hat\omega_j t) = \exp[(\hat\nu_j \pm \hat\omega_j)t]$). Then, using those relationships, the following commutators

$$\left[\hat\nu_1, \hat H_2\right] = \left[\hat\omega_1, \hat H_2\right] = \left[\hat\nu_2, \hat H_1\right] = \left[\hat\omega_2, \hat H_1\right] = 0\,, \qquad (14)$$

and the same properties (1), (4) and (7), we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat y_1(t)\,\hat F_{11}(t) &= i\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{\hat T\hat B_-}\,\{\cos[(\hat\nu_2 - \hat\omega_2)t]\,\sin(\hat\omega_1 t) + \cos[(\hat\nu_2 + \hat\omega_2)t]\,\sin(\hat\omega_1 t)\}\,\hat H_2^{1/4}\\ &\quad + i\frac{\gamma}{2}\hat H_2^{1/4}\,\{\sin[(\hat\nu_1 - \hat\omega_1)t]\,\cos(\hat\omega_2 t) - \sin[(\hat\nu_1 + \hat\omega_1)t]\,\cos(\hat\omega_2 t)\}\,\sqrt{\hat B_+\hat T^\dagger} \qquad (15a)\\ \hat y_1(t)\,\hat F_{12}(t) &= \frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{\hat T\hat B_-}\,\{\cos[(\hat\nu_2 - \hat\omega_2)t]\,\cos(\hat\omega_1 t) + \cos[(\hat\nu_2 + \hat\omega_2)t]\,\cos(\hat\omega_1 t)\}\,\sqrt{\hat T\hat B_-}\\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{2}\hat H_2^{1/4}\,\{\sin[(\hat\nu_1 + \hat\omega_1)t]\,\sin(\hat\omega_2 t) - \sin[(\hat\nu_1 - \hat\omega_1)t]\,\sin(\hat\omega_2 t)\}\,\hat H_1^{1/4} \qquad (15b)\\ \hat y_2(t)\,\hat F_{21}(t) &= \frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{\hat B_+\hat T^\dagger}\,\{\cos[(\hat\nu_1 - \hat\omega_1)t]\,\cos(\hat\omega_2 t) + \cos[(\hat\nu_1 + \hat\omega_1)t]\,\cos(\hat\omega_2 t)\}\,\sqrt{\hat B_+\hat T^\dagger}\\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma}{2}\hat H_1^{1/4}\,\{\sin[(\hat\nu_2 + \hat\omega_2)t]\,\sin(\hat\omega_1 t) - \sin[(\hat\nu_2 - \hat\omega_2)t]\,\sin(\hat\omega_1 t)\}\,\hat H_2^{1/4} \qquad (15c)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{y}_2(t)\,\hat{F}_{22}(t) &= i\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\,\{\cos\left[(\hat{\nu}_1-\hat{\omega}_1)t\right]\,\sin\left(\hat{\omega}_2 t\right)+\cos\left[(\hat{\nu}_1+\hat{\omega}_1)t\right]\,\sin\left(\hat{\omega}_2 t\right)\}\,\hat{H}_1^{1/4}\\ &\quad + i\frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{H}_1^{1/4}\,\{\sin\left[(\hat{\nu}_2-\hat{\omega}_2)t\right]\,\cos\left(\hat{\omega}_1 t\right)-\sin\left[(\hat{\nu}_2+\hat{\omega}_2)t\right]\,\cos\left(\hat{\omega}_1 t\right)\}\,\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\,. \end{aligned}\tag{15d}$$

In a similar way, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{z}_1(t)\,\hat{F}_{11}(t) &= i\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\,\{\sin\left[(\hat{\nu}_2-\hat{\omega}_2)t\right]\,\sin\left(\hat{\omega}_1 t\right)+\sin\left[(\hat{\nu}_2+\hat{\omega}_2)t\right]\,\sin\left(\hat{\omega}_1 t\right)\}\,\hat{H}_2^{1/4}\\ &\quad - i\frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{H}_2^{1/4}\,\{\cos\left[(\hat{\nu}_1-\hat{\omega}_1)t\right]\,\cos\left(\hat{\omega}_2 t\right)-\cos\left[(\hat{\nu}_1+\hat{\omega}_1)t\right]\,\cos\left(\hat{\omega}_2 t\right)\}\,\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger} \end{aligned}\tag{16a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{z}_1(t)\,\hat{F}_{12}(t) &= \frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\,\{\sin\left[(\hat{\nu}_2-\hat{\omega}_2)t\right]\,\cos\left(\hat{\omega}_1 t\right)+\sin\left[(\hat{\nu}_2+\hat{\omega}_2)t\right]\,\cos\left(\hat{\omega}_1 t\right)\}\,\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{H}_2^{1/4}\,\{\cos\left[(\hat{\nu}_1+\hat{\omega}_1)t\right]\,\sin\left(\hat{\omega}_2 t\right)-\cos\left[(\hat{\nu}_1-\hat{\omega}_1)t\right]\,\sin\left(\hat{\omega}_2 t\right)\}\,\hat{H}_1^{1/4} \end{aligned}\tag{16b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{z}_2(t)\,\hat{F}_{21}(t) &= \frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\,\{\sin\left[(\hat{\nu}_1-\hat{\omega}_1)t\right]\,\cos\left(\hat{\omega}_2 t\right)+\sin\left[(\hat{\nu}_1+\hat{\omega}_1)t\right]\,\cos\left(\hat{\omega}_2 t\right)\}\,\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{H}_1^{1/4}\,\{\cos\left[(\hat{\nu}_2+\hat{\omega}_2)t\right]\,\sin\left(\hat{\omega}_1 t\right)-\cos\left[(\hat{\nu}_2-\hat{\omega}_2)t\right]\,\sin\left(\hat{\omega}_1 t\right)\}\,\hat{H}_2^{1/4} \end{aligned}\tag{16c}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{z}_2(t)\,\hat{F}_{22}(t) &= i\frac{\gamma}{2}\sqrt{\hat{B}_+\hat{T}^\dagger}\,\{\sin\left[(\hat{\nu}_1-\hat{\omega}_1)t\right]\,\sin\left(\hat{\omega}_2 t\right)+\sin\left[(\hat{\nu}_1+\hat{\omega}_1)t\right]\,\sin\left(\hat{\omega}_2 t\right)\}\,\hat{H}_1^{1/4}\\ &\quad - i\frac{\gamma}{2}\hat{H}_1^{1/4}\,\{\cos\left[(\hat{\nu}_2-\hat{\omega}_2)t\right]\,\cos\left(\hat{\omega}_1 t\right)-\cos\left[(\hat{\nu}_2+\hat{\omega}_2)t\right]\,\cos\left(\hat{\omega}_1 t\right)\}\,\sqrt{\hat{T}\hat{B}_-}\,. \end{aligned}\tag{16d}$$

The non-commutativity between the operators $\hat{\omega}_1$ and $\hat{\omega}_2$ imply that to calculate the integrals involving the terms given by Eqs. (15) and (16) we need to use the series expansion of the trigonometric functions. In this case the integrals can be easily done because the time variable can be considered as a parameter factor. Finally, inserting these results into Eq. (3.30) it is trivial to find the expression (3.31) for the matrix elements of the particular solution.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **185**, 513 (1981).

[2] For a recent review see F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, Phys. Rep. **251**, 267 (1995).

[3] L. Gendenshtein, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **38**, 299 (1983) [JETP Lett. **38**, 356 (1983)].

[4] F. Cooper, J. N. Ginocchio and A. Khare, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 2458 (1987).

[5] A. B. Balantekin, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 4188 (1998).

[6] S. Chaturvedi, R. Dutt, A. Gangopadhyaya, P. Panigrahi, C. Rasinariu, and U. Sukhatme, Phys. Lett. A **248**, 109 (1998).

[7] A. N. F. Aleixo, A. B. Balantekin, and M. A. Cândido Ribeiro, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32**, 2785 (1999).

[8] A. B. Balantekin, M. A. Cândido Ribeiro, and A. N. F. Aleixo, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33**, 1503 (2000).

[9] E. T. Jaynes and F. W. Cummings, Proc. I. R. E. **51**, 89 (1963).

[10] S. Codriansky, P. Cordero, and S. Salamo, Z. Phys A **353**, 341 (1995).

[11] B. Buck and C. V. Sukumar, Phys. Lett. A **81**, 132 (1981).

[12] C. C. Gerry, Phys. Rev. A **37**, 2683 (1988).

[13] M. Chaichian, D. Ellinas, and P. Kulish, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 980 (1990).

[14] B. Deb and D. S. Ray, Phys. Rev A **48**, 3191 (1993).

[15] C. V. Sukumar and B. Buck, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **17**, 885 (1984).

[16] V. Buzěk, Phys. Rev. A **39**, 3196 (1989).

[17] W. H. Louisell, *Quantum Statistical Properties of Radiation*, (Wiley, New York, 1973), Chapter 5.

[18] A. N. F. Aleixo, A. B. Balantekin, and M. A. Cândido Ribeiro, following paper (quant-ph/0005046).